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INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is a stage in the life span that is socio-culturally constructed (Elder, 1980; Kaplan, 2004), temporally, spatially and individually varied (Larson and Wilson, 2004; Nsamenang, 2004), and, hence, characteristically diverse (Degner, 2006). However, it is commonly designated as the period of life between childhood and adulthood (Brown et al., 2004; Kaplan, 2004), a “time of growing up, of moving from the immaturity of childhood into the maturity of adulthood (Steinberg, 2002), a period of preparation for the future (Steinberg, 2002), and a phase of life beginning in biology (with the initiation of pubertal changes) and ending in society (Petersen, 1988) with ages ranging from around ten and ending in early twenties (Steinberg, 2002). It is a period of teenage years (from 13 to 19) (Degner, 2006) that corresponds with “the second decade of life” (Steinberg, 1999) in which most of a person's biological, cognitive, psychological, and social characteristics are changing in an interrelated manner” (Lerner, 2009, p. 150). These changes are characteristically so fundamental (Steinberg, 1999) that they transform the growing person from what is considered childlike to what is considered adult-like” (Lerner, 2009).

One of the fundamental socio-cognitive changes that makes adolescence transition take a special developmental overtone is the emergence of abstract thinking (Piaget, 1972). This ability to think in abstract ways enables adolescents to begin to ‘think about their own thinking'; a psychological construct that came to be known as ‘secondary- order thinking’ (Steinberg, 1989), ‘implicit psychological theory’ (Barenboim, 1981), ‘metacognition’ (Flavell, 1971), ‘hyper cognition’ (Demetriou, 1996), ‘prescriptive thinking’ (Kohlberg and Uljain, 1974), ‘self-controf, ‘self-management’, ‘self-discipline’... (Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990) or, preferably for our present purpose, ‘self-regulation’. According to McClelland, Poonitz, Messersmith, and Tominey (2010), other common terms related to the study of self-regulation include effortful control and executive attention (rooted in developmental psychology, particularly in studies of temperament), ego control/resiliency (rooted in personality psychology), executive function (rooted in clinical and developmental neuropsychology), decision making (rooted in cognitive psychology), engagement (rooted in educational psychology), and motivation (rooted in educational psychology and personality psychology); to name just a few of the most relevant to this discussion.
Self-regulation generally refers to a deliberate attempt to modulate, modify, or inhibit actions and reactions toward a more adaptive end (Barkley, 2004). It is an online positive executive functioning that directs, guides, and monitors activities of a person and unfolds itself through such behaviors as inhibition, future time orientation, consequential thinking, planning, initiation, and regulation of goal-directed behavior (Buckner et al., 2003). As an executive functioning of the self, it alters its own responses or inner states actively and intentionally (Gazzaniga, in Steinberg, 1993) and may be thought of as that part of the self which is ultimately responsible for the actions of the individual. Typically, this takes the form of overriding one response or behavior and replacing it with a less common but more desired response, making rational choices among alternative courses of actions, and planning for the time ahead.

Evidences indicate that young people who rated themselves and their parents as high in self-regulation skills showed lower levels of internalizing behavior problems (depression or anxiety) than peers low in these skills, that stressed youth high in impulsivity with poor self-regulation show higher externalizing behavior problems than those low in impulsivity, and that good self-regulation contributed to resilience (Buckner et al., 2003). Furthermore, teens with strong self-regulation skills that came from low-income families experienced satisfactory mental health and emotional well-being, even after researchers considered self-esteem and nonverbal intelligence (Buckner et al., 2003). According to Bandura and colleagues (2003), perceived self-efficacy to regulate positive and negative affect is related to teens’ beliefs that they can manage academic, transgressive, and empathic aspects of their lives, and these forms of perceived self-efficacy is related in turn to lower levels of depression, delinquency, and antisocial behaviors.

Although humans have an impressive capacity for self-regulation, failures are common and people lose control of their behavior in a wide variety of circumstances. Such failures are impulsivity and numerous other poor psychological outcomes (Bandura et al., 2003; Buckner et al., 2003; Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990; Hamid et al., 2006). An array of factors structure this psychological functioning including socio-economic and cultural (McLoyd, 1998; Elias, 2005), sub-cultural (Masten, 2004), familial (Naom in Dacey and ravers, 2002; Solomon, 2004; Adugna, 2005; Emana, 2005) and individual variables (Hamid, 2005; Katz, 1999). Evidences indicate that financial insecurity and educational advancement at some point hampers development of self-regulatory behavior (Field and Marie, 1993). Attachments to adults who monitor and support youth, relationships with peers who regulate others, bonding to socializing and community organizations, and opportunities for regulatory capacity building (Masten, 2004) are likely to promote self-regulation. Adolescents who are nested in intact family structures are also likely to develop self-regulation compared with those in non-intact families (Dawson in Papalia et al., 2004; Hetherington et al., 1998, Johnstone, Hoffman and Gersteinin Papalia et al., 2004; Ellies, 2003; Walker and Henning, 1997). In functional terms, intact families are likely to promote self-regulation mainly because they are likely to put at the disposal of young person a conducive environment for involved parenting. Given the high value placed on the relevance and importance of parents in the life of children (Naom in Dacey and Travers, 2002; Solomon, 2004; Adugna, 2005; Emana, 2005), then parents who do not have enough time to involve in their children’s staffs may result in low self-regulated behaviors (Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990; Naom in Dacey and Travers, 2002; Solomon, 2004; Adugna, 2005; Emana, 2005; Rueter and Conger, 1995; Simons et al., 1992) and these would make adolescents become easy prey of peer pressure for high risk activities like premarital sex, drug consumption and fighting (Diclemente et al. in Papalia et al., 2004). As regards individual factors, evidences indicate that there are gender (Hamid, 2005), and age (Katz, 1999) differences in the development of self-regulatory behavior. In most research findings age is a significant factor in predicting the possible self-control choice (Morris et al., 2007; Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990).

Previous studies on gender and self-regulation behavior were mixed; some noted differences lending support to females’ superiority (Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990) while others reporting insignificant differences (Tittle et al. in Papalia et al., 2004). In the same way, although the positive role of intact families may not be questioned, most findings regarding blended families are, however, mixed: some disclose the supportive role of non-biological parents (Sharma, Mcque, and Benson, 1996) while others demonstrate existence of an invisible turmoil among adolescents and non-biological parents (Hetherington et al., 1989; Park and Buriel, 1998; McDonald and DeMorinies Papalia et al., 2004) including adolescents who live even with their grandparents (Hetherington et al., 1998; Crowley in Papalia et al., 2004). In the same way, although parental involvement is shown to impact on self-regulation, some researchers rather look for what is beneath the surface suggesting that parenting style is most probably contributing in the prediction of self-regulation (Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990).

Although socio-economic status was also found to be an important factor in self-regulation, there are mixed findings when it comes to specific patterns; while some indicate that higher SES is to promote lower self-regulation (Niaz et al., 2005); others indicate that adolescents who have grown up in low socio-economic status homes are found to be low self-regulated (Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990; McLoyd, 1998) and middle socio-economic parent adolescents have higher self-regulatory behavior. Even with respect to age, while it is expected that self-regulation is to undergo refinements with age, evidences indicate that late adolescents are rather the most uncontrolled age group (Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990).

Along with the arguments so far, we may need to examine self-regulatory behavior of young people in Ethiopia and the associated factors. Different research investigations indicate that many adolescents in Ethiopia seem to engage in a host of risky behaviors that lead to sexual and reproductive health problems, HIV/AIDS, unplanned pregnancy, and abortion (in Belay and Missaye 2014). Review of literature also shows that disciplinary/behavioral problems, drug abuse, psychosocial and social adjustment difficulties, and many others are common problems of adolescents in Ethiopia (Belay and Yekoyealem, 2014). Hence, there is a need to investigate if self-regulatory behavior can be lower among adolescent students in Addis Ababa, and if the associated factors are...
(age, sex, parental income, family structure, and parental involvement) would operate in a similar way in the Ethiopian (Addis Ababa) context.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants: The study was carried out in Ayer Tena High School, Kolfe Keranio Sub City, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. This School was purposively selected for many reasons. First, one of the authors of this article works in this school as a counselor and this would facilitate data collection by removing the suspicious attitude generated when getting into a new territory. Many people experience a feeling of mistrust when approached for data collection seemingly linking such endeavors with political ends. Second, this approach was found cost-effective in so far as the research was done with little budget allocated for it. Third, as a suburb government school, Ayer Tena appears to provide a good mix of students from varied familial backgrounds.

This School is composed of a total of 1235 (588 male and 642 female) Grade Nine and Ten students regularly attending their class at the time of data collection. There were 14 sections of students in the two grades (8sections of Grade Nine and 6 sections of Grade Ten) of which only 7 were randomly selected. Then, each section was stratified gender-wise and a total of 240 participants were randomly selected with equal proportion of the two sexes. Sample size was calculated using the number of factors and categories involved in a research such that a minimum of 10 observations is required for each category of a factor (Draper and Smith in Belay, 2013). Accordingly, there are 2 categories of Factor 1 (gender) X 2 categories of Factor 2 (age) X 2 categories of Factory 3 (parental income and education) and 3 categories of Factor 4 (parental involvement) with a minimum of 10 observations per category yielding a total of about 240 participants. In fact, data analysis was based on 211 participants who provided complete data.

Instruments: Data collection employed three widely used instruments: Socio-Economic Status Inventory, Self – Regulation Scale, and Parental Involvement Scale.

Socio-Economic Status Inventory: was taken from Nuredin (2006) with modification made to make the items fit to the economic terms and conditions of the research context. The adopted inventory constituted of four aspects of social and economic indices: Educational Status Index (ESI), House Index (HOI), Earning Index (EAI) and Major Property Index (MPI).

Table 1: Descriptive summary of the variables (N=211)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (1, if male =0, if female)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>0.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal educational status</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0=illiterate, 1=primary, 2= high school, 3= certificate 4=diploma and 5=degree and above)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family structure (=1, if intact =0, if non- intact)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental monthly income in Birr, SES</td>
<td>&lt;500</td>
<td>&gt;5000</td>
<td>2117</td>
<td>92.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Self-regulatory Behavior: One sample mean test was conducted to determine the level of self-regulatory behavior among participants. The summary test statistic on Table 2 shows that the participants have significantly higher level of self-regulatory behavior ($t_{110}=174.2$, $P<0.000$). The test statistics summarized in the same table also yielded that there is a significantly higher level of perceived parental involvement ($t_{210}=174.2$, $P<0.000$).
Dimensions of Self-regulatory Behavior: Self-regulatory behavior was defined in terms of three important constructs as in many other psychological variables (thoughts, feelings, and actions). The descriptive statistics and one-sample mean test results are summarized in Table 3. Referring to this table, we can observe that all the dimensions are significantly higher than the respective expected means: self-regulatory thoughts ($t_{210}$=149.33, $P<0.000$), self-regulatory feelings ($t_{210}$=126.9, $P<0.000$), and self-regulatory behaviors ($t_{210}$=118.57, $P<0.000$).

Associated Factors: We may need to explain what possible factors are associated with self-regulatory behavior. Table 4 presents the correlation of some of the selected factors with self-regulatory behavior. Note in this table that it is only gender ($r_{210}=-0.17$, $P<0.05$) and paternal involvement ($r_{210}=0.15$, $P<0.05$) that appear to have significant correlation with self-regulatory behavior. That is, girls (represented by '0') are significantly better in self-regulatory behavior than boys. And higher parental involvement is accompanied with higher self-regulatory behavior.

Prediction of Self-regulatory Behavior: Multiple regression was conducted to determine the combined effects of all the predictor variables on self-regulatory behavior. It was found that about 3.1% (Multiple $R^2=0.031$) of the variance in self-regulatory behavior is explained by all the predictors together. In fact, the test of beta weights indicates that it is only sex that makes a significant contribution ($t_{210}=-2.436$, $P<0.016$).

This could be because of the overlaps among predictor variables. Hence, attempts are made to partial out overlaps among predictor variables to determine their net effect. The forward step wise variant of regression analysis is conducted for this purpose. First entry in the model goes to ‘Sex’; accounting about 3% of the variance in self-regulatory behavior. No other variable could enter in the second step. The correlation between sex and paternal involvement was so strong that entry of sex in the model in step one nullified the role of paternal involvement.

**DISCUSSION**

This research was aimed at examining the level, dimensions and associated factors of self-regulatory behavior among adolescent students in Addis Ababa. The
average age of participants (17 years) was found to place them into the stage, middle adolescence (15-18 years) (Lipszitz in Steinberg, 1999; Kagan and Coles in Steinberg, 1999), that corresponds with educational institutions’ approximate age of high school (Steinberg, 1999). Of course, this is a period of numerous changes including self-regulation that eventually transform the person from childlike to an adult like person. Masten argues that self-regulatory skills are needed to develop particularly at this stage in order to promote handling the various rapid changes and impulses of teens in making decisions about driving, sexual intimacy, or using drugs to cope with stress (Masten, 2004).

The findings of the present research indicate the existence of a higher level of overall self-regulatory behavior as well as its three dimensions (self-regulatory thoughts, feelings, and actions) mainly stimulated, among others, by socio-cultural factors and parenting practices in Africa in general and Ethiopia in particular. Cultural practices, proverbs, values and norms in Africa tend to promote responsible intelligence (Nsamenang, 1992), emotional, interpersonal, or social intelligence (Super and Harkness, 2008). Major goals of childrearing are centered more on such communal, social, spiritual and human values as belief in supernatural power, pro-social, caring and altruistic attitudes; cooperation and interdependence; self-restraint, un hurriedness and patience; responsibility for others; respect, loyalty and obedience to authority; and hard work (Belay, 2011) rather than on such individualistic values as competitiveness, winning, achievement, success, independence, self-actualization, justice (Belay and Dawit, 2014). These social skills and humanistic values enable the person prioritize group interests over personal ones or suppress one’s own requirements and consider the needs of others in the in-group and children are “better together” within the free spirit and self-regulation; inter-stimulating and mentoring each other (Nsamenang, 1992) even when direct parental care and intervention is no longer available. The community parenting of African children (Belay, 2011), better expressed in the proverb “it takes a village to raise a child” (Sachs, 2008 in Time magazine), family members are not the only people to guide and supervise adolescents but almost the whole villagers are responsible in developing/inculcating the social norms and checking in adolescents anytime they get off track.

Generally, these experiences would develop self-regulation skill that allows making plans, choose from alternatives, control impulses, inhibit unwanted thoughts, regulate social behavior and live cooperatively. Those who are better able to self-regulate demonstrate improved relationships, increased job success, better mental health and are less at risk of developing alcohol abuse problems, engaging in risky sexual behavior and high school performance (Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990; Hamid et al., 2006).

If self-regulation assumes these and related other important contributions in the development of young persons, then adolescents in Addis Ababa are supposed to retain smooth transitioning into adulthood. However, evidences seem to portray otherwise (Belay and Missaye, 2014; Belay and Yekoyealem, 2014; Belay and Sintayehu, 2008: CAYAO, 1995; Deme, 1997; Mulunesh, 2005; YNAT, 2004; Yusuf, 1998). Mulunesh (2005) reported that over 52.3% of adolescence in Gondar had experienced unpleasant emotional situations and more than 56% had reported of facing negative relationships with their parents’. As to Yusuf (1998) and Deme (1997), Ethiopian high school students (adolescents) are the most neglected and least understood age group, so they are at risk everywhere. According to Youth Net Assessment Team (YNAT, 2004), a good proportion of Ethiopian adolescents are highly involved in risk taking behaviors like unsafe sex, drug abuse, alcoholism, delinquency, etc. (see also CAYAO, 1995; Yusuf, 1998; FDRE-MOH, 2002).

Greater risk in the face of self-regulatory behavior is an apparent contradiction. This contradiction can be explained from different perspectives. Adolescents’ increased facility in perspective taking dictating them to present themselves in favorable light while responding to the items of the questionnaire could be one. Normalizing the abnormal as a cultural script for adolescents to write up their identity could be another. Smoking, drugs, early sex and others may constitute the sub culture along which today’s adolescents are expected to socialize themselves with as a normal part of growing up into adulthood. Practicing alcohol begins in early childhood with local drinks (‘tela’, ‘arekie’, ‘tej’) at the homestead in many families in Ethiopia. Taking a drug (‘chat’) is also culturally accepted in many parts of Ethiopia. Violence as a method of conflict resolution, and particularly gender-based violence, is again not only culturally tolerated but also encouraged to prove manhood; despite massive gender awareness creation movements in Ethiopia. Violence at school is then an extension rather than exception of all these cultural practices and social rules.

The socio-cultural issues would also make sense explaining the other interesting finding of this research about associated factors; that many of the variables shown to have significant explanatory contribution to self-regulatory behavior (i.e. age, socio-economic status, and family structure) in previous research were not found to retain this position in the present research. That is, self-regulatory behavior is affected by general societal belief and, practices rather than specific individual developmental experiences. In fact, restriction of range would somehow limit the role of these variables as well.

In fact, gender was found to make significant difference in self-regulation; supporting previous studies showing females being more self-regulated than males (Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990). The external control, supervision and monitoring that girls experience would gradually enable them to translate this external scripts into an internal speech (Diaz and Berke, 1992). Parental involvement was in fact another important factor correlated with self-regulation as it was also noted in previous research (Adugna, 2005; Diclemente et al …in Papalia, 2004; Emana, 2005; Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990; Naomin Dacey and Travers, 2002; Rueter and Conger, 1995; Simons, 1992; Solomon, 2004) but because it was also strongly correlated with sex, its role was consumed when entered into the regression equation along with gender. That is, sex has parental involvement plus some more things in it. On the other hand, age, family structure, and socio-economic status were not significant possibly for the added reason that there could be restriction of range. The socio-economic status of parents in government schools in Ethiopia is largely lower and almost homogenous. The same restriction of
variability is also evident in the age of respondents. Hence, widening the range would improve the role of predictors.

CONCLUSIONS

It can be generally conclude that secondary school adolescent students in Ayer Tena School have a higher level of self-regulatory behavior. This same pattern follows in all the three dimensions of self-regulation. Furthermore, it was noted that while sex and parental involvement were the only two factors that explain self-regulation, the other factors (age, socio-economic status, family structure, fathers’ education) were not found to correlate with self-regulation significantly. Failure of self-regulation is generally an important cause of several contemporary societal problems—substance abuse, poverty, and sexual infidelity and STIs, reckless driving, violence and crime, and so on. Hence, it is still needed for schools, parents, communities, and governments to jointly work still to facilitate development of self-regulation further. It is also recommended that further research be carried out employing mixed research designs to thoroughly examine self-regulation (through qualitative techniques) and the associated factors using quantitative approaches that draw data from varied sources and samples including from private schools to introduce wider variability in sample characteristics.
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